

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Updated template October 2022)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-11-16

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Shella Ngum Ngwa, Monitoring and Evaluation Officer a.i Project Manager

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Aissata De, UNDP RR

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

Did PBF Secretariat or the PBF Focal point in the resident coordinator office review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no
- ☐ Not Applicable

Any additional comment from the PBF Secretariat/ RCO Focal point on this report

.....

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border or regional project? *

- ☐ yes ☒ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| ☐ Asia and the Pacific | ☐ Central & Southern Africa | ☐ East Africa |
| ☐ Europe and Central Asia | ☐ Global | ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean |
| ☐ Middle East and North Africa | ☒ West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ☐ Benin | ☐ Burkina Faso | ☐ Cote D'Ivoire |
| ☒ Gambia | ☐ Guinea | ☐ Guinea-Bissau |
| ☐ Liberia | ☐ Mali | ☐ Mauritania |
| ☐ Niger | ☐ Nigeria | ☐ Senegal |
| ☐ Sierra Leone | ☐ Togo | ☐ Other, Specify |

Other, please specify *

.....

Project Title *

- ☐ 00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources
- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☒ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ☐ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| ☐ Asia and the Pacific | ☐ Central & Southern Africa | ☐ East Africa |
| ☐ Europe and Central Asia | ☐ Global | ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean |
| ☐ Middle East and North Africa | ☐ West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date *

2020-03-10

Project end Date *

2022-09-09

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund

*

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

If yes, please select which

*

- ☒ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity?

*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient

*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDP0 ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients

*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Action Aid | ☐ The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |
| ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | |
| ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières |
| ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada |
| | ☐ Christian Aid Ireland |
| ☐ CARE International UK | ☐ The Carter Center, Inc. |
| ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | ☐ COIPRODEN |
| ☐ Concern Worldwide | ☐ CORDAID |
| | ☐ CORD Burundi |
| ☐ DanChurchAid | ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) |
| | ☐ Fund for Congolese Women |
| ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) |
| ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation |
| ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) |
| ☐ International Alert | ☐ Interpeace |
| | ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation |
| ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| ☐ (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | |
| ☐ Mercy Corps | ☐ MSIS-TATAO |
| | ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| ☐ ONG AZHAR | ☐ OXFAM |
| | ☐ Peace Direct |
| ☐ PNG UN Country Fund | ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez |
| ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | ☐ Saferworld |
| ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | ☐ SismaMujer |
| | ☐ Tearfund |
| ☐ Trocaire | ☐ World Vision International |
| | ☐ World Vision Myanmar |
| ☐ ZOA | ☐ Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Network Against Gender Based Violence

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

195049.02

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

NGBV facilitated the refurbishment of three one stop centers and a shelter in three regions, implemented the development of SOP's and referral pathways for the centers and capacity building trainings for social workers and key stakeholders and provided support to the survivor advocacy group.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

*

Ministry of Gender Children and Social Welfare

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date

*

45155

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

*

Please limit your response to 175 words

The Ministry of Gender Children and social welfare facilitated the implementation of the women empowerment program, through a consultancy they developed the empowerment modules and deployed 14 volunteers to all regions of the country to capacitate women groups on GBV, sensitization sessions were also held across all regions for religious leaders, men and boys on SGBV. The MoGCSW also held quarterly SGBV steering committee meetings.

Does the project have an active steering committee?

*

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*

1

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with?

Please limit your response to 275 words

The project has had consultative engagement with government on the reviewed the legalization supported by the project and how it can be fast track at the Ministry of Justice for the National Assemble to enact. Also, discussion on mechanism to convert the outputs developed by the project to sustainable outcomes in achieving the overall objective of adhering to rule of law, human rights, as well as improving both the demand and supply of quality service delivery in the country in attaining the SDGs.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	905080.9	905080.9	867030.84	95.8%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1649988.15	1649988.15	1611816.99	97.69%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **97.69%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

36.2

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 597295.71**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 583477.75**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Rule of Law budget expenses - 15.6.2022-15_53_39.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☒ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of Partners


*

☐ Not started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially complete ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

☐ Not started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially complete ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *  Not started Initiated Partially complete Completed Not Applicable
Identification of beneficiaries *  Not started Initiated Partially complete Completed Not Applicable Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the <i>status of the project</i> Briefly outline the <i>status of the project</i> in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) <i>Please limit your response to 250 words</i> All recruitment, and partner identification has been completed. Few activities are still ongoing such as the construction of the model police station, well as the online training of ADRS staff for which UNDP programme team will perform oversight role and report on the completion of activity post project.
 * Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project <i>Please limit your response to 550 words</i> The perception of the police has been more favorable due to limited cases of human right abuse and arbitrary arrest compared to the previous regime. The population now trusts and work closely with the police following the implementation of the community policing philosophy. The government has endorsed and adopted the community policing strategic, and implementation plans as well as other Community policing knowledge products to ensure continuity and sustainability beyond the project. Women counselors and CSOs can address SGBV-related issues and play a critical role in decision making in the communities, following the capacity enhancement as right advocates. Also, with the establishment of the Prison Legal Aid Desk, access to legal services has increased at the prison facilities. The project facilitated the signing of an MOU between the Prison service and the service providers (National Agency for Legal Aid, Gambia Bar Association, and the Centre for Legal Support) to ensure continuity beyond the project. In addition, NALA and MOJ personnel continue to address legal issues and prosecute cases through the knowledge acquired from the online platform (Lexis, Nexis). The Digitalization of the Police, Judiciary, and prison service allows case tracking and storage of case files. Also, this will ensure that cases are adjudicated faster to address the issue of case backlog, which was a persistent problem in the justice sector. The project was able to strengthen the capacity of the Judiciary on data & child justice. For example, prior to the training of the Judiciary in December 2020 there was issues on case tracking. Post the training, 116 cases of children (3 girls and 113 boys) in conflict with the law were tracked and reported from the 4 children's courts in Brikama, Basse, Kanifing, and Mansa Konko from January 2021 to November 2022. 99 of these cases were finalized with the representation of NALA. At the time of reporting, only 4 children were at the Jeshwang Juvenile Prison, compared to 23 children in detention at the start of the project. Training guides and manuals on community policing, reporting SGBV offences, prosecution, child justice, and care of survivors have been embedded into the institution for continuity, including training of trainers.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

0 1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Improved access to justice, especially for women and children who are victims of serious human rights violations

Outcome 2: *

Improved Community Policing

Outcome 3: *

Strengthened justice service delivery system for citizens, particularly victims of human rights abuses

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Improved access to justice, especially for women and children who are victims of serious human rights violations

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☒ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

601 (307 F, 294 M), received legal, mediation, & social services through the Mobile Legal Aid Clinics in partnership with the government & civil society in 5 regions within 21 communities. Since project inception, 290 (283M, 7 F) inmates received legal assistance. As a result, 77cases (71 M, 6 F) bails granted, 3cases (2 M, 1F) withdrawn, 3M acquitted, and 6 M convicted. Also, 99children received legal support of resulting to the released of 95. Moreover, 434 GBV survivors received health & psychosocial services from the established one-stop centers, out of which, 179 received legal aid.

To diffuse community tension in fostering peacebuilding & social cohesion, the project supported awareness raising using different platforms to ensure no one is left behind reaching 300 boys and girls in schools as well as adult and children at community levels. Through these interventions, children, and communities are empowered to claim their rights and seek justice in cases of human rights violations. Furthermore, upscaled the capacity of 360 Community Child Protection members across the nation on child justice & justice delivery mechanisms. This will enable them to report & refer cases of human rights violations involving children and adults to the relevant sector. In addition, enhanced the capacity of 14 women volunteers and 90 grassroots women groups supporting GBV and justice delivery at community levels. Training manual developed to sustain community capacity development. The capacity of 56 lady counselors, 650 men, & boys to enhanced advocacy and reporting of SGBV-related offences using a survivor-centered approach in 5 regions.

Established One-Stop Centers in Brikama & Basse district hospitals, Bundung Maternal & child health care hospital (KMC) & a Shelter in Bakoteh continues to provide integrated services (Health, Psychosocial support, & legal Services) to survivors of SGBV using a coordinated approach. 90 service providers including social workers have been upscaled with the knowledge to manage SGBV cases out of which 7 are certified trainers. Moreover, developed SOP, referral pathway & upscaled the capacity pf health worker, including 103 women CSOs dealing with SGBV issues. This will ensure a coordinated service to SGBV survivors.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Women and children's issues are prioritized during the MLAC, with particular attention in the rural areas where women and children have limited access to information about women and children's rights. An information session was conducted with about 300 children aged 15-17 years to educate them on their rights and issues, including child-friendly services offered during the MLACs and community policing in all targeted project areas. In addition, grass-root women groups and CSOs have been trained on women empowerment based on the Women Empowerment Modules developed by UNFPA in collaboration with the Ministry of Gender, Children, and Social Welfare. Also, the project targeted men to be advocates for gender equality and women's employment at regional and grass root levels

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 1** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Improved access to justice, especially for women and children who are victims of serious human rights violations**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1	% Increase in the number of victims of human right violation in the previous 12months who reported their victimization to the legal aid clinics in project targeted areas disaggregated by sex, age and region	Existing legal aid data from MLACs and PLADs – 2 PLADs established and operational, (Source: 2019-2021 project reports)	3 operational PLADS and 15 MLACS visits 10% increase in legal aid, social and mediation cases addressed including SGBV related cases	96.6 % (1070 adult, 99 children) increase from project inception as opposed to the 10% for every quarter	N/A
1.2	% Increase in the number of SGBV survivors/victims accessing the OSC particularly women and children disaggregated by sex, age and region	200 (Source NGBV)	10% Increase in the number of GBV survivors accessing the OSC particularly.	68.5% (514 (498 female and 16 male) increase from project inception to date	N/A

1.3	% Increase in the number of women and girls in one year who received support from the legal aid clinics and OSCs disaggregated by sex, age and region	22	5%	95.4% increase (53 girls from legal aid & 399 through the OSCs	N/A
-----	---	----	----	--	-----

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Increased legal aid services to communities particularly women and children

Output 1.2
Increased awareness among community structures on justice delivery mechanisms

Output 1.3
Quality integrated services available for survivors of SGBV

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1: Increased legal aid services to communities particularly women and children	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	number of cases addressed to the legal aid clinics, mediation and social services particularly women, children and victims of human right abuse in targeted project areas disaggregated by age and sex)	126 cases	Increase of 10% per quarterly	92.4% increase (891 (577male, 314 female) reached through legal clinic)	N/A
1.1.2	Number of women/children that received legal aid services in targeted project areas disaggregated by sex and age	16	30 increase quarterly	314 women and 73 children received legal service)	N/A
1.1.3					N/A

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Increased awareness among community structures on justice delivery mechanism s	Performanc e Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Number of communities reached with information on justice delivery mechanisms	Lack of citizens awareness of their legal right and available channels to seek legal aid and OSC services	4 Regions	26 Communities	N/A
1.2.2					
1.2.3					

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Quality integrated services available for survivors of SGBV	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	increased the number of clients that received SGBV integrated comprehensive services for at least one type of SGBV (disaggregated by age and sex)	Approximately 400 Cases per year (2030 reported cases FROM 2014-2018, source: NGBV Network	10% increase per quarter 10 per quarter	434 persons received integrated service	N/A
1.3.2	number of reported SGBV cases refer to legal aid services from OSC disaggregated by age and sex	No existing data	10% increase per quarter	248 cases have been referred for legal aid services from OSC's since project inception to date	N/A
1.3.3	# of service providers with increased knowledge to provide SGBV services to survivors. (Disaggregated by age and sex)	3	6	425 service providers have the knowledge as well as 118 CSOs and women community groups in addressing SGBV issues indicating significant progress as compared to the baseline.	N/A

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1					
1.4.2					
1.4.3					

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1					
1.5.2					
1.5.3					

Outcome 2: Improved Community Policing

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☒ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Upscaled the capacity of 293 (204 male, 89 female) police officers on child, and gender-responsive policing including, 161 (79 female, 82 male) community members, leaders, key stakeholders, & youth, as well as 200 CPVs on CP, for effective policing and also to be advocates on community policing. Likewise, the overall community policing initiative has shown an increased level of trust and accountability among the Police and the community resulting in crime reduction & fostering social cohesion in the areas of intervention. An example is the Garawol community where community policing has been integrated into the existing community as structures and patrol with officers. In addition, the community of Essau allocated land for the construction of the model station, signifying community partnership with the Police.

Developed a Mobile Data Collection Application with 200 crime data form for the Gambia Police for real-time data collection and evidence-based strategic and policy decision-making processes both at national and international levels. Procured and handed over 5 tablets, 5 desktops facilitate data entry, analysis, reporting and crime data coordination in UNDP intervention areas that will trigger decision on the priority areas of police intervention. Moreover, the project developed a Geographical Information Platform. The platform provides customized information, including personnel, vehicles, Information, and Communications Technology (ICT), equipment, and points of interest for every post, station, district office, regional office, and Headquarters. This will also guide the Police to make a strategic decision on deployment & resource allocation based on the crime reported in each region. To ensure effective and efficient implementation of the systems, the capacity of 42 officers were upscaled for the MDCA and 25 for the GIS.

Community-based radio stations, billboards, NGOs, CSOs, women, & youth groups are used to raise awareness and sensitize the public on the innovative approach in policing, including gender and child-friendly policing techniques. Resulting in more proactive policing in partnership with the community in combating and preventing crime.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The intervention on community policing, on gender, youth, and children's responsive inclusions. The project targeted not less than 30% of the female officers (in all its training and interventions to ensure gender and child-friendly policing techniques are used. 204 male and 89 female officers were targeted. Additionally, the project will work with community women's groups, youth groups, and child rights community-based organizations to fully participate in community policing initiatives and use their platforms to raise awareness and advocacy at the community level. 65 of the participants at community levels were youth, 35 village leaders, 52 were elderly above 50 years of age, and 209 were men and women of the middle age groups within the communities.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 2** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 2: Improved Community Policing**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1	#/% of reported crime to police (disaggregated by age and sex) in targeted areas	N/A	5% increase quarterly	There is an increase level confidence in the Gambia Police with 80% percent from the sample population Source: Monitoring report and the national perception survey compared to the police under the former regime	N/A

2.2	Increased level of confidence in the police in community policing in targeted project areas (disaggregated by age and sex).	Lack of trust by the communities on the police	Increase trust using a phase approach at community levels	The is more trust on the police in the community intervention areas as the community of Essau donated land for the construction of the model station as part of their commitment to work with the police. Also, in some communities like in Garawol Community policing have been integrated into the local structure into to	N/A
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1
Improved capacity of local police to respond to community needs

Output 2.2
Strengthened Gambia Police Force at the Institutional level to enable implementation of the new community policing approach

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Improved capacity of local police to respond to community needs	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Level of community awareness of CP initiative increased (disaggregated by age and sex)	Lack of citizens awareness about the community policing initiative	National Level	4 regions CRR, URR. LRR and NBR are now aware and implementing CP.	N/A
2.1.2	Develop a district police plan mainstreaming gender and processes of persecuting SGBV related crimes	N/A	1	The District Plan developed and currently in use by the Gambian Police force as well as other knowledge products guiding the implementation of cp initiative	N/A
2.1.3	Number of advocacy activities undertaking by CSOs, NGOs, CBOs on the access to local policing services disaggregated by regions	3	6	CSOs and NGOs advocated in all the project support areas including the use of community radio station for wider coverage. There is more interaction between the police, NGOs, CSOs in the project areas.	N/A

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Strengthened Gambia Police Force at the Institutional level to enable implementation of the new community policing approach	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	# Of CP pilot sites operationalized (Pilots site established and running)	No existing	5 Districts	7 districts across 4 regions are operating cp initiative under the project. Also, GIZ is supporting 27 districts because of the synergy that has been created through the UNDP supported CP strategy & implementation plans.	N/A
2.2.2	# of Police personnel have knowledge on crimes against humanity, SGBV Case handling & Implementation of Children's Act (disaggregated by age and sex)	N/A	100	305 officers have knowledge in preventing and combatting criminal activities as well as SGBV and child related offences.	N/A

1.2.3	Number of CBOs/CSOs/NGOs supported to increase awareness on community policing services disaggregated by regions	0	5	5 in URR, NBR, LRR & CRR	N/A
-------	--	---	---	--------------------------	-----

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1					
2.3.2					
2.3.3					

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1					
2.4.2					
2.4.3					

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1					
2.5.2					
2.5.3					

Outcome 3: Strengthened justice service delivery system for citizens, particularly victims of human rights abuses

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☒ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

In partnership with the Judiciary, the project procured IT equipment to operationalize the Court Automated Transcript System. The system will ensure cases are adjudicated quickly & minimize case backlog. 25 judges were capacitated led by international experts from The Hague on the prosecution of criminal offences including cases from the TRRC recommendation. Completed the revision of the Sexual Offences Act, Evidence Act, Legal practitioners Act, & the Children's Act. All are under review by the MOJ for onward submission to the National Assembly.

The capacity of 40 (22 female, 18male) from the MOJ, Police, Department of Social Welfare, and civil society for quality integrated SGBV service delivery. Also, developed SGBV training guide and the manual for continuous training Furthermore, 7 personnel (4 females, 3males) from the MOJ-SGBV were supported with a 2year subscription for online legal catalogs as well as legal officers from NALA on criminal and child justice. This has been useful in researching case laws, legislation, and commentaries, resulting to increase prosecution. Training of 6 Alternative Dispute Resolution Secretariat staff on Mediation, Conciliation and Negotiation to respond to conflicts and address disputes at community levels.

Upscaled the capacity of 53 (22 female, 31male) state counsels, 7(3 female, 4 male) legal lawyers, 56 (12 female, 44 male) police officers on the Children's Act, child justice, including, 43 judges (24 female, 19 male) in addressing children and SGBV cases. This will improve access & justice delivery for children. 37 (16 female, 21 male) court registrars & clerks capacitated on record keeping and data collection for child protection & child justice. 27 (prosecutor & lawyers) & 30 social workers and probation officers have the knowledge to address legal issues

The project launched the SGBV advocacy documentary in partnership with the Gambia government. This also used to raise awareness in communities to break the culture of silence in ensuring that the perpetrators are held accountable and that the survivors get justice. An GBV working group set up that ensures effective and inclusive mechanisms to address and protect the needs of survivors and the marginalized groups under the purview of the MOGCSW

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Women facilitated most of the training for the magistrates, lawyers, social workers, and prosecutors as resource persons and experts on child justice (91female, 96 male). The GBV working group and National GBV Steering Committee support 150 women's agencies, youth groups, regional GBV working groups, and VDCs, as well as validates the Standard operating manual, women empowerment manual, and standard operating procedure for the one-stop centers.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 3** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 3: Strengthened justice service delivery system for citizens, particularly victims of human rights abuses**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1	Increase number of cases prosecuted and adjudicated particularly on SGBV, child related and HR cases	Base on the 2015 and 2018 reports 35% of SGBV reported cases received judgment.	5%	79 cases have received judgement since project inception	N/A
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

0 1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Strengthened judicial capacity to address human rights crimes, children's rights issues, SGBV and legal issues arising from the TJ and TRRC processes.

Output 3.2

Increased capacities of government/CSO institutions for coordination, monitoring and prosecution of SGBV related cases.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Strengthened judicial capacity to address human rights crimes, children's rights issues, SGBV and legal issues arising from the TJ and TRRC processes.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	# of Judicial officers have knowledge on crimes against humanity, SGBV Case handling & Implementation of Children's Act (disaggregated by age and sex)	Limited support provided to the judicial officers	25	68 judges have been capacitated to prosecute criminal cases as well as children's cases and cases from the TRRC recommendation.	N/A

3.1.2	decreased in the number of case backlog of SGBV reported cases through the legal aid clinics, Disaggregated by regions	Limited support to the Judiciary	5%	No progress	No existing reporting structures at institutional level to track the number of SGBV prosecuted cases. Discussing ongoing with MOJ to develop a case management system to track SGBV and other crimes reported and prosecuted.
3.1.3					

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Increased capacities of government/CSO institutions for coordination, monitoring and prosecution of SGBV related cases.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	Number of MOJ and police staff benefited from SGBV trainings disaggregated by age and sex	40	5% increase	Total of 60 staff have the knowledge to prosecute SGBV offences	N/A
3.2.2	# of legislation reviewed to mainstreaming gender and juvenile rights	Inadequate knowledge and understanding on the legislations related to women and juvenile	2	4 legislations reviewed still under review at the ministry of justice for onward submission to the national assemble for it to be enacted (Sexual offences, alternative dispute resolution, legal practitioner, and the children's acts	N/A
3.2.3					

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1					
3.3.2					
3.3.3					

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1					
3.4.2					
3.4.3					

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....					
3.5.1					
.....					
3.5.2					
.....					
3.5.3					
.....					

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 4** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1					
4.1.2					
4.1.3					

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1					
4.2.2					
4.2.3					

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1					
4.3.2					
4.3.3					

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1					
4.4.2					
4.4.3					

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1					
4.5.2					
4.5.3					

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 5** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.1					
5.2					
5.3					

How many outputs does outcome 5 have?

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 5

Output 5.1

Output 5.2

Output 5.3

Output 5.4

Output 5.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 5 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 5.1

Output 5.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.1.1					
5.1.2					
5.1.3					

» Output 5.2

Output 5.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.2.1					
5.2.2					
5.2.3					

» Output 5.3

Output 5.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.3.1					
5.3.2					
5.3.3					

» Output 5.4

Output 5.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.4.1					
5.4.2					
5.4.3					

» Output 5.5

Output 5.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
5.5.1					
5.5.2					
5.5.3					

If the project has more than 5 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

- ☐ yes
☒ no

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project on their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

How many key stakeholders does this project have?

2

Key Stakeholder :

the National Agency for Legal Aid, Judiciary, the Gambia Bar Association, Alternative Dispute Resolution Secretariat (ADRS), Ministry of Women Affairs, Children & Social Welfare, and the Network Against Gender Based Violence (NGBV).

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

The lack of capacity of the justice and security sector particularly the Judiciary, Police, Ministry of Justice as well as CSOs to respond to the immediate needs of citizens prior to the project initiation. Also, case backlog was a pertinent issue due to lack of digitalize system for filing which resulted to some case files being missing. Lack of trust in the justice and security sector due on the history of human right abuses, the institutions not adhering to the rule of law and with bias in the justice sectors. As evidenced by the statistics on adjudication of GBV cases, the pace of increasing demand for services outstrips the capacity of the police, ministry of Justice and the judiciary to respond as well as social worker on the care of survivors. Outdated laws do not align to international standard.

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

UNVs seconded to the Gambia Bar, and the National Agency for Legal Aid were fortunate to meet with two Senegalese nationals both adults Mohammed and Baba accused of cattle theft and rape. Remanded since 2018 at the Mile 2 Prison Facility. According to the accused, the authority later transferred them to the Jeshwang remanded facility in 2019, and since their transfer, they could not appear before the court of law. In addition, they explained their ordeal when interviewed, "We do not have any relatives or family ties in The Gambia, and since been detent, we have never had any visitor to reassure us or provide psychosocial support". "To make things worse, our families do not know our whereabouts, which is depressing to us." To the project, it was a priority for us to ensure that the accused receive fair trial, considering their mental state, coupled with the fact that both accused have been forgotten as their warrant had expired and the Police prosecutor in charge of the case could not recall the matter when approached by the UNVs. When the team approached the Head of Police Prosecution with evidence of illegal detention of both suspects, including no case file of the suspect from the Police within two weeks after follow-up and consultations, both accused were released from custody and the case dismissed on the grounds of illegal detention and sent back to their home country (Senegal).

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

code from the benfi "I was really devastated when I was arrested for the second. I had sleepless nights thinking about the case. At one point I was very hopeless.

Key Stakeholder :

The Gambia Police

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

Lack of public trust and confidence in the police force resulting from the human right abuses and torture under the previous regime.

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The shift in practice specifically from conventional crime control to police modernization, has led to more visible policing through patrols. This shift is particularly important from a gender perspective, according to Corporal Mai Jeffang, a trained Community Police specialist, as a result, police officers are no longer focusing their practice on responding to specific requests and/or calls for service from individuals or groups only. Instead, proactive policing specific to every district helps in deterring crime and addressing the citizens' fear of crime before it occurs.

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

"The culture of silence is breaking in the rural communities, due to numerous sensitisations and because of proactive policing. People no longer regard police officers as strangers in their midst. Police officers patrolling the streets actively engage with the communities to mitigate criminalities, including SGBV offences, low-level crimes and violations, before there is a call for their service. This helps in preventing more serious crimes in the communities from occurring," Corporal Jeffang added.

"Without members of the community being part of the initiative for sustainable peace and development, the initiative wouldn't be successfully" emphasized CPS Lamin Jaiteh.

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had

Please limit your response to 650 words

Bongal (not her real name) is a 17-year-old girl who was arrested and arraigned before the Children's Court on a charge of murder. The case was referred to the National Agency for Legal Aid (NALA) through the Prison Legal Aid Desk. NALA successfully lodged a bail application on her behalf, and she was subsequently released from detention. With NALA's representation, the matter was struck from the court roll, due to the failure of the state prosecutors to appear before court on numerous occasions. A week later, Bongal was unfortunately rearrested on the same charges and arraigned before a different Children's Court. Through the project, NALA intervened, and the child was granted bail for the second time. NALA also supported the child throughout the trial and successfully argued her case that there was no evidence presented in court to link her to the crime and that the court had no jurisdiction to re-try her case. Through the project support, she was acquitted and discharged in October 2021. When asked about the legal point I was very hopeless. "I am grateful for the lawyers who represented me throughout and I pray for them. Because of their support, I am now back home with my family." services rendered to her through the project, she stated that "I was really devastated when I was arrested for the second. I had sleepless nights thinking about the case.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

- **Bi-weekly coordination meetings are held with the UN sister agencies on the progress and challenges in implementation.**
- **The project conducts weekly meetings with implementing partners on the progress, challenges and lessons learned during implementation.**
- **Throughout the reporting period, the project undertook weekly monitoring visits to the project intervention areas in and outside the greater Banjul Areas where mobile legal aid clinics and Prison legal aid desks have been established as well as community policing**
- **The project together with partners for the MLAC/PLAD and the Police conducted a joint monitoring field visit in the Community Policing, MLAC & PLAD intervention areas in August 2022.**
- **The project together with implementing partners conducted a joint monitoring visit to 70% of the project intervention area in August 2021 using a random sampling method.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ yes

☐ no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

In collaboration with implementing partners, the project conducted three joint monitoring visits, namely, a joint monitoring field visit in all the project intervention areas in August 2021. Also, conducted joint monitoring with the Police on community policing as well as with the legal aid partners. The team used a qualitative approach to collect information from key stakeholders, and community members, including women, youth and people living with disability, through Focus Group Discussion using agreed but not limited to monitoring questionnaires. The objective was to provide information enabling project staff as well as the stakeholders to assess implementation progress and make timely decisions. Verify that project activities are being undertaken, services delivered, and the project leads to the desired behavior changes described in the project proposal as well as document successes, bottlenecks, and lessons learned and recommendation during the implementation of activities. The reports from the field visit highlights strength weaknesses and action points to be taken in order to achieve the project's objectives.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The National Perception Survey on Rule of Law and Access to Justice gathered statistically reliable quantitative information directly from the people of The Gambia on knowledge, demand, perceptions, and use of justice and security services. The National Perception Survey was aimed at supporting more informed programmatic decisions based on justice perceptions and access to justice needs of target groups. They include the general population with particular attention to children, youth, and women. Consequently, the study presents evidence-based analysis on the functionality, effectiveness, accessibility, affordability, and responsiveness of the rule of law institutions to the citizens of The Gambia. It also highlights challenges the citizens of Gambia, particularly women and children, face when trying to access both the formal and informal justice systems. Finally, the outcome suggests recommendations on how to improve the quality and accessibility of justice services for the citizens of The Gambia.

Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period?

*

☐ yes

☒ no

Evaluation budget (in USD):

*

50000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The contract has been issued and the firm is expected to start on November 21, 2022

Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged?

*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur (Ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system)?

*

☐ No catalytic effect

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

☐ Very Significant catalytic effect

☐ Don't Know

☐ Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The Community Policing Philosophy initiated by the project has attracted other developmental partners such as GIZ to compliment the efforts of Community policing as well as DCAF in other regions. Also, the training manual have been incorporated into the training school with support from the German police to continuously capacitate officers.

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy.

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

For outcome 1, the exit and Sustainability strategy is to handover the MLACs to the ADRS. As its profile and reach is extended by the project, ADRS will be able to generate more income through its 10% commissions as well as addressing land disputes (this also generates income for ADRS though the percentage is linked to the outcome amount).

For outcome 2, the Gambia Police Force wholly owns Community Policing and has already appointed AIGP Ebrahima Bah as the senior executive to coordinate the Community Policing intervention. The endorsed Strategy and Implementation Plans, as well as the knowledge product and Community Policing training Curriculum incorporated in the Police School for continuous training and refreshing training for sustainability.

For Outcome 3, the project has built institutional capacity rather as well as personnel capacity with the development of training guide and manuals, including the provision of digitalize court system for which the court will maintain using national budget allocation to ensure continuity and sustainability. Also, the OSCs has been incorporated under the ministry of health as well as the ministry of gender to continue as the Project phase out.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Annex: Please use this space to upload any additional document you may want to the report (ex. Additional detail on indicator reporting)

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.